



503.39221CX1 / P5538-1

Fee

IN THE UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

Applicant(s): YAMAMOTO, et al.

Serial No.: 10/735,725

Filed: December 16, 2003

For: LIQUID CRYSTAL DISPLAY APPARATUS

Group: 2629

Examiner: J. Piziali

Conf. No.: 3672

TRAVERSAL OF NOTICE OF NON-COMPLIANT AMENDMENT

Commissioner for Patents
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450

July 26, 2006

Sir:

Applicant respectfully traverses the 26 June 2006 Notice Of Non-Compliant Amendment (copy annexed herewith). More particularly, as alleged grounds for non-compliance, the Notice indicates "A complete listing of all of the claims is not present.Claims 23-28 are not present in the amendment." In traversal, it is respectfully submitted that claims 23-28 do not exist in the entered record of the application. More particularly, while Applicant's 18 February 2005 Supplemental Amendment originally attempted to submit claims 23-28, the USPTO's subsequent 16 June 2005 Office Action denied entry of such Supplemental Amendment (and thus denied entry of claims 23-28). Further, the USPTO's 04 April 2006 Advisory Action states (in relevant part) "If the applicants wish to have the not-entered supplemental reply (i.e., the Amendment filed 18 February 2005) considered by the examiner, the applicants must include the contents of the supplemental

reply in a subsequent proper reply ...Because the Amendment submitted 18 February 2005 was not entered, claims 23-28 are non-existent in the current application. It is respectfully submitted that Applicant has not resubmitted claims 23-28, and accordingly, it is respectfully submitted that claims 23-28 remain non-existent in the current applicant. Therefore, the Notice Of Non-Compliant Amendment is incorrect and should be withdrawn. Withdrawal of the Notice Of Non-Compliant Amendment is respectfully requested.

Respectfully submitted,

ANTONELLI, TERRY, STOUT & KRAUS, LLP



Paul J. Skwierawski
Registration No. 32,173

PJS/slk
(703) 312-6600